

NEW JERSEY MILITIA NEWSLETTER

Volume XXV, Issue No. 4

October 2019

All persons are by nature free and independent, and have certain natural and unalienable rights, among which are those of enjoying and defending life and liberty, of acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and of pursuing and obtaining safety and happiness.

-- Article 1, Section 1, New Jersey State Constitution

On Militia

In May 1993, the Coordinating Staff of the Special Forces Underground met to discuss our degree of cooperation with the various patriot and militia organizations. The operations officer was directed to complete a staff study, and to present his advice no later than 01 October 1993. On that date we made the decision to avoid any unqualified direct contact with the patriot movement in general and the militia in particular.

Our decision was grounded in part on my intelligence officer's assessment that most of the various militia groups were riddled with both recruited and opportunity informants, federal and state undercover operatives, and agents provocateur; that any involvement with the militia would ultimately contaminate the security of our own organization.

This is not an indictment of the unorganized militias which, in our view, are exercising their common law and constitutional rights of self-defense and voluntary association. However, the very openness of most militia groups - their careless recruitment methods and inherent lack of rudimentary personnel screening and operational security methods - leaves these groups open to infiltration, subversion and manipulation. Should the federal government decide to act against the militia groups, and the militia groups stand and fight, they will not, in their current configuration, last one month. This is our assessment. Out of this meeting, however, the idea of THE RESISTER was born.....

Our support for the concept of the unorganized militia in general is unqualified. Our support for particular militia groups is very much qualified.

Socialists argue that the necessity for an unorganized militia has been negated by the de facto select militia, the National Guard. The establishment of the National Guard in 1917 was a deliberate effort on the part of socialists (then called "progressives") to subvert both the letter and intent of the Uniform Militia Act of 1792, and the Second Amendment. The National Guard is the very embodiment of the concept of a select militia in that it is armed, equipped, organized, trained and paid for by - and therefore loyal to - the federal government. Regardless of a state's nominal control over its national guard, it is subject to federalization by presidential decree and thus little more than an auxiliary of the standing army. The national guard is a twisted socialist mockery of the principle stated in 1791 by Rep. James Jackson, Georgia, that "In a republic every man ought to be a soldier, and be prepared to resist tyranny and usurpation, as well as invasion, and to prevent the greatest of all evils - a standing army."

Today the militia concept exists within an irreconcilable paradox. In a republic the militia is the armed citizenry. The inalienable natural and common law right of individuals to form, join, drill and, if necessary, fight as an organized body in defense of their common interests (by voluntary association) against the forces of either foreign or domestic tyranny is therefore unquestionable. That is, in fact, the true purpose of the Second Amendment. The paradox is that the natural right of self-defense and the common law right (indeed, obligation in your own self-interest) to oppose tyranny, by force if necessary, has been rendered questionable, and in some cases illegal, by the slow but persistent forces of

socialism and Marxism within the federal and state governments..... Excerpted from The RESISTER, The Official Publication of the Special Forces Underground, Vol.1, No. 4, Spring 1995

Never bring a sword to a gunfight

PORT JEFFERSON, N.Y. (CBSNewYork) - A liquor store worker on Long Island has shot and killed a man who was swinging a sword inside the business.

Police say the shooting happened at Port Jeff Liquors on Main Street just after 2 p.m. Thursday afternoon.

Homicide Squad detectives announced that Theodore Scoville swung his samurai sword three times at the store clerk before being shot to death.

– October 3, 2019

Dick's destroyed \$5 million worth of 'assault rifles' to keep them 'off the street'

Dick's Sporting Goods has destroyed \$5 million worth of "assault-style rifles" to keep them out of private hands.

Dick's announced a halt to "assault-style rifles" two weeks after the February 14, 2018, Parkland high school shooting.

During his October 6, CBS News appearance, CEO Ed Stack said the company ended up destroying \$5 million worth of "assault-style rifles."

Stack also admitted the Dick's gun control stance has resulted in "a quarter of a billion [dollars]" in losses. But Stack does not regret the gun control stance. Rather, he is considering taking the company even further down the gun control path.

As of right now, 100 Dick's stores no longer sell guns of any kind. Stack is thinking about expanding that policy so that no Dick's store sells a gun. – www.breitbart.com/2019/10/06

Report: Number of concealed carry permits surges to nearly 19 million

A report from the Crime Prevention Research Center, released October 2, shows there are now nearly 19 million concealed permit holders in America, 1.4 million more than there were in 2018.

Four states have over one million permit holders each. Those states are Florida, Georgia, Oklahoma, and Texas. It also shows that eight states which kept gender-based data "from 2012 to 2019...[saw] permits for women growing 101% faster for women than those for men."

And four states with data on race from 2012 to 2018 witnessed "the number of black people with permits [increase] almost 20% faster than the number of whites with permits." – www.breitbart.com/2019/10/03

Could calling someone an 'illegal alien' cost you your gun rights?

There's been another piece of anti-Second Amendment legislation flying under the radar.

Named the Disarm Hate Act (DHA), this bill would use hate crime laws to deny gun rights. Using similar laws already on the books in a few states as a template, the DHA would prohibit people convicted of certain "violent" hate crime misdemeanors from possessing a weapon. Currently, federal law only bans people convicted of felony hate crimes from gun ownership.

What is a violent misdemeanor hate crime? Sen. Bob Casey (D-PA), co-sponsor of the Senate version of the DHA, describes it this way: "Most commonly this category includes low-level assault, threats, harassment, and property damage."

Meanwhile last week, New York City approved a directive making it illegal to threaten someone with a call to Immigrations and Customs Enforcement (ICE) or to use phrases like "go back to your own country" or "illegal alien" when motivated by hate.

BREAKING:

New York City has made it illegal to threaten to call ICE based on a discriminatory motive or to tell someone "go back to your country." Hate has no place here.

pic.twitter.com/8PalozjQty— City of New York (@nycgov) September 26, 2019

The 29-page directive released by the NYC Commission on Human Rights includes numerous examples of acts or comments that would be prohibited under the law. Violators of so-called hate speech can be punished by fines up to \$250,000 per offense.

According to one passage included in the directive, "The use of certain language, including 'illegal alien' and 'illegals,' with the intent to demean, humiliate, or offend a person or persons constitutes discrimination." – www.stridentconservative.com September 30, 2019

UN pleads for money as finances poised to run dry by month's end

The United Nations is running a deficit of \$230 million, Secretary General Antonio Guterres warned, and may run out of money by the end of October unless world governments immediately meet their financial obligations.

The U.S. is by far the U.N.'s biggest financial contributor, providing 22 percent of its operating budget and funding 28 percent of peacekeeping missions.

The next two major contributors are Germany and the U.K.

In a letter intended for the 37,000 employees at the U.N. secretariat and obtained by AFP, Guterres said unspecified, "additional stop-gap measures" would have to be taken to ensure salaries and entitlements are met.

These might include holding fewer meetings and cutting back on travel and associated entitlements.

"Member States have paid only 70 per cent of the total amount needed for our regular budget operations in 2019. We run the risk of depleting our backup liquidity reserves by the end of the month," Guterres wrote.

Donald Trump has long pushed for reform of the U.N. and just last week warned the "future does not belong to globalists" in a warning to the organization's leaders:

In December 2017 Nikki Haley, the then U.S. Ambassador to the organization, announced the federal government had reduced its contribution to the U.N.'s annual budget by \$285 million, as Breitbart News reported.

Haley's statement came after the U.N. voted to condemn the U.S. for President Donald Trump's decision to

recognize Jerusalem as the capital of Israel.—AFP 10Oct 2019

Only 70 percent of the total annual dues – from 129 of 193 U.N. member states – have been paid. The situation is so dire that putting on last month's U.N. General Assembly required emergency spending cuts.

Sixty-four nations have outstanding balances that total \$1.3 billion.

President Trump has criticized other nations for failing to pay their dues, saying the U.S. is shouldering too much of the U.N. and NATO burden. Some that have failed to pay include Brazil, Iran, Israel, Mexico, Saudi Arabia, South Korea and Uruguay. – UPI 10 Oct 2019

Washington tends to pay late in the year, and at this stage has not paid in full.

According to records, the US is obligated to contribute \$674 million to the UN regular budget for 2018-2019.

However, it owes a total \$1.055 billion, according to the UN, which is cumulative money owed from previous years.

– CNN 9 October 2019

Elite American Institutions Keep Bowing to China...Over and Over Again

America's elite institutions are catering to communist China.

The NBA provided the latest example, when league commissioner Adam Silver apologized for Houston Rockets general manager Daryl Morey's tweet in support of pro-democracy protesters in Hong Kong.

The Brooklyn Nets' billionaire owner, Joseph Tsai, slammed Morey in a Facebook post. Tsai, the co-founder of Chinese internet titan Alibaba, described Morey's tweet as "so damaging to the relationship with our fans in China," adding that "the hurt that this incident has caused will take a long time to repair."

China Daily, the communist government's propaganda arm, used the NBA's conciliatory reaction to warn other companies to learn "a lesson: The big Chinese market is open to the world, but those who challenge China's core interests and hurt Chinese people's feelings cannot make any profit from it."

American universities have allowed the Chinese government to fund centers called Confucius Institutes that provide the communist regime with avenues to infuse pro-China propaganda into American academia. Eighty-nine Confucius Institutes were

operating in the U.S. as of September 2019, according to the National Association of Scholars.

Hollywood has self-censored for years in order to ensure access to the Chinese market. The U.S. government published a report in 2015 detailing how filmmakers tailor their messaging to appease Chinese government censors.

"U.S. filmmakers self-censor scenes, dialogue, images, and themes they fear will jeopardize their film's chance of receiving Chinese approval for import," stated the U.S.-China Economic and Security Review Commission's report, titled, "Directed by Hollywood, Edited by China."

"For a type of movie, particularly the global blockbusters, they are not going to go and make something that the Chinese would reject for social or political reasons," Peter Shiao, the CEO of an "independent Hollywood-Chinese coproduction studio," told the commission.

MGM Studios digitally altered the 2012 remake of "Red Dawn" to change the invading army's nationality from Chinese—as was the case during filming—to North Korean.

Athletic apparel giant Nike pulled a shoe line from Chinese markets in June after the shoes' designer tweeted support for the Hong Kong protesters. "Nike's appeasement of China fits into a larger and more dangerous pattern of kowtowing by Western companies to Beijing's demands for censorship," the Washington Post's editorial board warned at the time.

The NFL is apparently looking to emulate the NBA in accessing Chinese revenue streams. NFL Commissioner Roger Goodell described China in January as a "priority market." "We have had double-digit growth this past year in China in our fan base and people engaging with our game," he added. "So we are excited by it." — dailycaller.com October 07, 2019

Feds drop home-made AR-15 case for fear of hurting wider gun control system

Federal authorities dropped their pursuit of alleged homemade AR-15 maker Joseph Roh for fear a trial in his case could upend decades of gun control

CNN reports that Roh allegedly had machines to manufacture AR-15s in a warehouse outside Los Angeles. Customers could come to the warehouse and allegedly build their own rifles "for about \$1,000."

Roh allegedly maintained his business, even after ATF officials warned him 'in person...that he was breaking the law.'

Roh was eventually indicted, only to have federal authorities drop charges after prosecutors grew worried that a ruling in the case would be detrimental to the larger gun control system. They specifically worried the 1968 Gun Control Act could be endangered and that a trial might "seriously undermine the ATF's ability to trace and regulate firearms nationwide." — www.breitbart.com October 14, 2019

Idiotic Environmental Predictions

By Walter Williams

The Competitive Enterprise Institute has published "Wrong Again: 50 Years of Failed Eco-pocalyptic Predictions." Many of the grossly wrong environmentalist predictions were made by respected scientists and government officials. My question for you is: How many government restrictions and taxes would you have urged to avoid the predicted calamity?

In 1969 Stanford U. biologist Paul Erhlich warned: "The trouble with almost all environmental problems is that by the time we have enough evidence to convince people, you're dead. We must realize that unless we're extremely lucky, everybody will disappear in a cloud of blue steam in 20 years."

In 2000, David Viner, a scientist at U. of East Anglia, predicted that in a few years winter snowfall would become "a very rare and exciting event. Children just aren't going to know what snow is." In 2004, the Pentagon warned President G.W. Bush that major European cities would be beneath rising seas.

In 2014, French Foreign Minister Laurent Fabius declared that "we have 500 days to avoid climate chaos."

Peter Gunter, professor at N. Texas State U., predicted in the spring 1970 issue of *The Living Wilderness*: "Demographers agree almost unanimously on the following grim timetable: by 1975 widespread famines will begin in India; these will spread by 1990 to include all of India, Pakistan, China and the Near East, Africa. By the year 2000, or conceivably sooner, South and Central America will exist under famine conditions. ... By the year 2000, thirty years from now, the entire world, with the exception of Western Europe, North America, and Australia, will be in famine."

Ecologist Kenneth Watt's 1970 prediction was, "If present trends continue, the world will be about four degrees colder for the global mean temperature in 1990, but eleven degrees colder in the year 2000." He added, "This is about twice what it would take to put us into an ice age."

In 1974, the U.S. Geological Survey said that the U.S. had only a 10-year supply of natural gas. However, in 2017 the U.S. Energy Information Administration estimated there was enough natural gas to last us for nearly a century.

Today's wild predictions about climate doom are likely to be just as true as yesteryear's. The major difference is today's Americans are far more gullible and more likely to spend trillions fighting global warming. And the only result is that we'll be much poorer and less free.

Walter E. Williams's Latest Book *American Contempt for Liberty* is available on Amazon

-- townhall.com October 09, 2019

REVIEW: *American Contempt for Liberty*, by Walter E. Williams

Throughout history, personal liberty, free markets, and peaceable, voluntary exchanges have been roundly denounced by tyrants and often greeted with suspicion by the general public. Unfortunately, Americans have increasingly accepted the tyrannical ideas of reduced private property rights and reduced rights to profits, and have become enamored with restrictions on personal liberty and control by government. In this latest collection of essays selected from his syndicated newspaper columns, Walter E. Williams takes on a range of controversial issues surrounding race, education, the environment, the Constitution, health care, foreign policy, and more. Skewering the self-righteous and self-important forces throughout society, he makes the case for what he calls the "the moral superiority of personal liberty and its main ingredient—limited government." With his usual straightforward insights and honesty, Williams reveals the loss of liberty in nearly every important aspect of our lives, the massive decline in our values, and the moral tragedy that has befallen Americans today: our belief that it is acceptable for the government to forcibly use one American to serve the purposes of another.

-- Amazon.com book blurb

Cities Are Creating a Mass Surveillance Nightmare

More than a million New Yorkers could soon agree to carry a government-issued tracking device.

That's the proposal from Mayor Bill de Blasio, who is setting his sights on transforming NYC into something out of a dystopian sci-fi novel. The mayor wants to embed a RFID chip into every municipal ID card as part of the "IDNYC" program.

The proposal potentially puts people at risk of identity theft, stalking, and (for undocumented New Yorkers) deportation. It's part of the global trend towards so-called "smart cities"—a series of high-tech undertakings that claim to improve municipal efficiency at the modest price of stripping us of our privacy and autonomy.

Smart cities proponents claim that by integrating the internet of things, artificial intelligence, and networks of sensors we can make our children smarter, our commutes faster, and even save lives. Smart cities are heralded as the solution to everything from the opioid crisis to de facto school segregation to RoboCops that will prevent crimes before they happen.

Smart city programs already include schools, hospitals, sanitation, law enforcement. Also increasingly, towns big and small are being taken in by the promise of a data-driven society.

The privacy risk is hard to overstate. Government agencies will have extremely sensitive data about our health, our children's school performance, and where we spend our free time. Go to the bar? The smart city knows. Go to a protest? It probably knows that too. And so will anyone who hacks in.

As early as 2014, security researchers starting raising the alarm that critical city systems were unencrypted and completely vulnerable to attack. That same year, the Department of Homeland Security admitted that hackers had broken into a public utility by simply guessing the password.

Recently, we've seen Baltimore and Atlanta grind to a halt when attackers used ransomware to encrypt government computer systems, demanding a large payment in exchange for the key. Residents lost access to everything from online bill payments, to deed transfers and court scheduling. In Baltimore crucial data was permanently lost.

Hackers can easily post what they find. As *The Wall Street Journal* recently noted: "The more connected a

city is, the more vulnerable it is to cyberattacks." Even with the best security protections, cities can't eliminate the threat—not as long as we continue to collect the data.—thetdailybeast.com Updated 10.01.19

How the Presidential candidates rehash failed communist ideas

By Joe Jarvis via The Daily Bell

171 years ago, when the Communist Manifesto was first published, Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels listed the top ten communist goals for how the working proletariat could rise up against the monied bourgeoisie.

This list included things like free public education, a progressive income tax, and a state-owned central banking monopoly.

That's all been accomplished of course.

Number one on Marx's checklist was "Abolition of property in land and application of all rents of land to public purposes."

This would fit right in with the platforms of the current crowded Presidential field of "democratic socialists" clamoring to one-up each other with the most communist platform.

For instance, Bernie Sanders' platform includes a top estate tax—aka inheritance or death tax—of 77%.

His plan to make housing more affordable includes a 25% house-flipping tax. That, apparently to punish the people who buy crappy houses, fix them up, and sell them for a profit.

You already pay property taxes on land, which means it's not really yours, you're renting it from the government.

And Sanders wants an additional "empty homes tax" of 2% on the total value of vacant houses.

Marianne Williamson and Pete Buttigieg have proposed one year mandatory national service for young people.

The wealth tax proposed by Elizabeth Warren was abandoned by Sweden after it didn't work.

Virtually all the candidates are peddling some expansion of free education, whether free college or erasing student debt. They all want the income tax to be even more progressive, with top rates of 70%+.

Current Presidential candidates aren't proposing bold new ideas. They are rehashing old failed communist ideas.

Countries have already tried putting the theories of the Communist Manifesto into practice.

Abolishing inheritance of property was one of the first things the Bolsheviks did in 1918 shortly after they came to power in Russia.

This, along with other policies to weaken the family, quickly created millions of homeless children.

The effects were so disastrous that even the Soviets restored inheritance rights by 1926.

Communist China abolished land ownership, instead collectivizing farms. It's no coincidence that famines from 1959-1961 in China killed millions. China too eventually restored private property ownership.

But now there are new generations of victims, indoctrinated in the public school system, ready to take the bait again, hook, line and sinker.

Right now these candidates may be peddling "communism light." But in another four years that could turn full-bolshevik.

So it makes sense to protect yourself.

For instance, why fix up American houses and get punished, when you could buy foreign real estate for cheaper? Then you have assets, and a living option outside the country.

That's especially important if they continue making their way down Marx's checklist to, "Confiscation of the property of all emigrants and rebels." — www.zerohedge.com/2019-10-16

Jury awards Sandy Hook father \$450,000 for defamation by local conspiracy theorist

A Dane County [WI] jury on Tuesday decided a conspiracy theorist must pay the father of a boy killed in the 2012 Sandy Hook shootings \$450,000 for falsely claiming that the father circulated fabricated copies of his son's death certificate.

James Fetzer must pay Leonard Pozner, whose son, Noah, 6, was the youngest victim of the Dec. 14, 2012, massacre in Newtown, Connecticut. The award follows Circuit Judge Frank Remington's ruling that Fetzer defamed Pozner with four false statements about the death certificate. The statements appeared in a book Fetzer co-authored and edited [*Nobody Died at Sandy Hook*], and in his blog.

Pozner emphasized that his case was not about First Amendment protections.

"Mr. Fetzer has the right to believe that Sandy Hook never

happened," he said. "He has the right to express his ignorance. This award, however, further illustrates the difference between the right of people like Mr. Fetzer to be wrong and the right of victims like myself and my child to be free from defamation, free from harassment and free from the intentional infliction of terror."

Fetzer — a professor emeritus of philosophy from the University of Minnesota-Duluth and prolific conspiracy theorist on a range of events — claims the Sandy Hook massacre was an event staged by the Federal Emergency Management Agency as part of an Obama administration effort to enact tighter gun restrictions.

— Sadison.com October 16, 2019

REVIEW: *Poisoner in Chief: Sidney Gottlieb and the CIA Search for Mind Control*

The visionary chemist Sidney Gottlieb was the CIA's master magician and gentlehearted torturer—the agency's "poisoner in chief." As head of the MK-ULTRA mind control project, he directed brutal experiments at secret prisons on three continents. He made pills, powders, and potions that could kill or maim without a trace—including some intended for Fidel Castro and other foreign leaders. He paid prostitutes to lure clients to CIA-run bordellos, where they were secretly dosed with mind-altering drugs. His experiments spread LSD across the United States, making him a hidden godfather of the 1960s counterculture. For years he was the chief supplier of spy tools used by CIA officers around the world.

Stephen Kinzer, author of groundbreaking books about U.S. clandestine operations, draws on new documentary research and original interviews to bring to life one of the most powerful unknown Americans of the twentieth century. Gottlieb's reckless experiments on "expendable" human subjects destroyed many lives, yet he considered himself deeply spiritual. He lived in a remote cabin without running water, meditated, and rose before dawn to milk his goats.

During his twenty-two years at the CIA, Gottlieb worked in the deepest secrecy. Only since his death has it become possible to piece together his astonishing career at the intersection of extreme science and covert action. *Poisoner in Chief* reveals him as a clandestine conjurer on an epic scale.

-- Amazon book blurb

How the CIA brought LSD to America

As part of the search for drugs that would allow people to control the human mind, CIA scientists became aware of the existence of LSD, and this became an obsession for the early directors of MK-ULTRA. Actually, the MK-ULTRA director, Sidney Gottlieb, can now be seen as the man who brought LSD to America. He was the unwitting godfather of the entire LSD counterculture.

In the early 1950s, he arranged for the CIA to pay \$240,000 to buy the world's entire supply of LSD. He brought this to the United States, and he began spreading it around to hospitals, clinics, prisons and other institutions, asking them, through bogus foundations, to carry out research projects and find out what LSD was, how people reacted to it and how it might be able to be used as a tool for mind control.

Now, the people who volunteered for these experiments and began taking LSD, in many cases, found it very pleasurable. They told their friends about it. Who were those people? Ken Kesey, the author of *One Flew Over the Cuckoo's Nest*, got his LSD in an experiment sponsored by the CIA by MK-ULTRA, by Sidney Gottlieb. So did Robert Hunter, the lyricist for the Grateful Dead, which went on to become a great purveyor of LSD culture. Allen Ginsberg, the poet who preached the value of the great personal adventure of using LSD, got his first LSD from Sidney Gottlieb. Although, of course, he never knew that name.

So the CIA brought LSD to America unwittingly, and actually it's a tremendous irony that the drug that the CIA hoped would be its key to controlling humanity actually wound up fueling a generational rebellion that was dedicated to destroying everything that the CIA held dear and defended.

The end of Gottlieb's career came in 1972, when his patron, Richard Helms, who was then director of the CIA, was removed by President Nixon. Once Helms was gone, it was just a matter of time until Gottlieb would be gone, and most important was that Helms was really the only person at the CIA who had an idea of what Gottlieb had been doing. So as they were both on their way out of the CIA, they agreed that they should destroy all records of MK-ULTRA. Gottlieb actually drove out to the CIA records center and ordered the archives to destroy boxes full of MK-ULTRA records. ... However, it turns out that there were some [records] found in other places; there was a depot for

expense account reports that had not been destroyed, and various other pieces of paper remain. So there is enough out there to reconstruct some of what he did, but his effort to wipe away his traces by destroying all those documents in the early '70s was quite successful. -- NPR interview of Stephen Kinzer September 9, 2019

Judge Strikes Down Seattle Gun Storage Ordinance

Superior Court Judge Anita Farris struck down Seattle's gun storage ordinance Monday, ruling it violates the state's 36-year-old preemption law.

Preemption laws exist in various states around the union. They forbid cities and other localities from passing gun controls that exceed those which exist at the state level.

The suit was brought by the Second Amendment Foundation and National Rifle Association, together with three private citizens. It rested on a claim the gun storage law of 2018 violated preemption.

Pending appeal, Farris' ruling will result in a permanent injunction against the gun storage ordinance.

-- www.breitbart.com 2019/10/22

If you have to draw your gun...

If you have to draw your gun to brandish or shoot at an attacker, here are suggestions on what to do:

1. Be the **first** to call 9-1-1. (You do need to call the police in such a case.)

2. Give the 9-1-1 operator your name and the location of the shooting.

3. USCCA suggests saying the following to the 9-1-1 operator (before hanging up): "I was attacked, feared for my life and had to defend myself. Send both the police and an ambulance." Do not continue to chat with the 9-1-1 operator.

4. If you can, contact your lawyer while you are waiting for the police to show up. USCCA also suggests:

5. Follow all police instructions (avoid having a gun in your hand when they show up.)

6. Tell police, "I was attacked, feared for my life and had to defend myself."

7. Point out any evidence, witnesses, and the attacker.

7. Finally, tell the police one last thing: "I will cooperate 100%, but first I need my attorney." Talk no further without your lawyer present.

Remember, you must invoke the 5th Amendment verbally before going silent. Otherwise your silence could be used against you in a court of law.

California enacts most radical gun seizure, gun control measure yet

Gov. Gavin Newsom (D) signed more than a dozen firearm-related California bills into law Friday, including one that expands the state's existing red flag law.

That law is being hailed as one of the strictest gun seizure laws in the nation. The law will allow co-workers, employers, and teachers to seek a "red flag" firearm restraining order against anyone they believe is a threat to themselves or others that asks a judge to temporarily take away someone's firearms, the Sacramento Bee reported.

Previously, only law enforcement or immediate family members could seek such an order.

Newsom also signed a companion bill that allows the restraining orders to remain in place for one to five years, the Associated Press reported. The same bill allows a judge to also issue a search warrant at the same time the restraining order is issued.

From Fox News: The American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) opposed the bill, saying it "poses a significant threat to civil liberties" because a restraining order can be sought before a gun owner has an opportunity to dispute the request.

The law takes effect on Jan. 1, 2020. – theblaze.com Oct. 12, 2019

God, Guns and Grit: Why America Needs *The Reliant*

By Jamie A. Hope

Christian films often are either ignored or summarily dismissed by the secular entertainment community. So why is the action film *The Reliant*, starring Kevin Sorbo, Brian Bosworth, and Eric Roberts, already rattling Hollywood and secular entertainment's cages?

The synopsis: Economic collapse causes widespread rioting and social unrest, leaving a lovesick 19-year-old girl struggling to care for her

siblings in a stretch of woods bordered by lawless anarchy, wondering why a good God would let this happen.

When asked what prompted Dr. J.P. Johnston to create the film he said, "There's a huge debate going on in this country about gun rights. This movie addresses what the Second Amendment is really about -- protecting yourself and your family from criminals and from the tyranny."

"The [movie] really deals with the darker questions about faith and God's sovereignty. How can God be loving when He allows terrible things to happen to His children that you as a loving parent would never allow to happen to yours? That's not always a comfortable conversation to have."

When asked about why the MPAA gave them an R rating, Johnston theorized, "Several filmmakers who saw our film were confident that it was the Second Amendment theme in our film, however subtle, that inflamed their liberal sensibilities. We had to struggle with raising funds for a re-edit that finally obtained the 'PG-13' rating we needed."

Another reason the movie could ruffle feathers with Hollywood is because of this news that Johnston shared here first. In a scene where the protagonists, who were waiting till marriage to kiss, were finally marrying, the reverend officiating the wedding turns out to be D.L. Foster, a former homosexual who is now a well-known Christian pastor. In addition, Nicole C. Mullen, the Christian singer and songwriter who sings "Last Love" in the scene, is happily engaged to former homosexual and Christian worship leader Donnie McClurkin. The whole scene appears to be a strong yet subtle nod to purity and traditional marriage.

The film has already won eight First Place awards at faith-based and secular film festivals: Best Score and Best Producer at the Freedom Festival International, and Best Action Film at the Out of the Can Film Festival in England.

Second Amendment rights, faith in God, traditional family values -- these are things Hollywood now openly mocks. Hollywood studios are one of the

most powerful forces in shaping the culture, and they publicly berate those who disagree with their leftist ideology. However, faith-based movies like *The Reliant* are influencing the culture in the opposite direction -- for courageous faith, for God-given rights, and for liberty. This movie is going to make waves for all the right reasons and it is exactly the film that is needed right now in America.

The Reliant will air for one night only in select theaters on October 24, 2019, and will be available in December on DVD and VOD. For more information on *The Reliant*, and to purchase tickets, visit their website.

-- americanthinker.com Oct. 2, 2019

Letter to the Editor

Greetings,

I received your first issue today. Very good info. I thank you. I've been getting info such as yours and enjoy the material you supply. I used to receive several newsletters a.) The Resister b.) The Idaho Observer c.) MOM Militia of Montana and others that have been 'Ousted' by – well, you know. Anyway, again I thank you for your info. I share it with several of the sheeple here @ state. Thank you and hope to hear from you next month.

Sincerely,

BB, State prisoner

Ed.: Thanks for sharing the newsletter. Just so you know, we'll never use your name or initials; don't want to cause any problems.

Sign of the Times

Thomas Jefferson founded the University of Virginia in Charlottesville.

Why then would the city council vote to cancel the city's long-standing birthday celebration of the author of the Declaration of Independence?

Why, too, would the San Francisco school board vote to cover up a painting of George Washington?

-- Ashbrook Center fund raising letter, September 2019

NJM, P.O. Box 10176, Trenton New Jersey 08650

ISSN 1523-4657

Back issues, from 1997 to date, can be found online. Just go to: <https://archive.org/> and in the Search Field, type in "New Jersey Militia Newsletter". So grab the PDFs and pass them around via email to others who have never seen the hard copies.

Johnson County, TX, Earl (817) 783-2375
Wake County, NC, Dave (919) 375-4840

Newsletter Subscription - Donation \$10.00
Cash or Blank Money Order Only

Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____